

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/633,747 08/04/2003 Hiroyuki Oka 5258-000016 5355 27572 7590 04/05/2004 EXAMINER HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828	27572 7590 04/05/2004 EXAMINER HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. LUEBKE, RENEE S	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828	HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828	10/633,747	08/04/2003	Hiroyuki Oka	5258-000016	5258-000016 5355	
P.O. BOX 828	P.O. BOX 828	27572	7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER		
ADM ADMIT	ADMINIST DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	•	•	P.L.C.	LUEBKE,	RENEE S	
	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				ART UNIT	DADED NILIMDED	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,747	ОКА	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Renee S. Luebke	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the second period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit			rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		-	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to			.=
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Be	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9443) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/8/03. 	-/	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	()

Application Number: 10/633,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

- 2. The examiner would appreciate a copy of the Japanese application mentioned on page 2 of the present specification.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- Contrary to the description of fig. 1 on page 6, this figure does not show "a female connector housing." Some of the other figures are similarly misdescribed.
 - On line 2 of paragraph 38, "real" should apparently be changed to -rear-.
- Contrary to line 2 of paragraph 39, and other places, the female connector housing 20 is not "on" the hood part 11; it is *in* the hood part.
 - Paragraph 46 is unclear.

Appropriate corrections are required.

- 4. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A pair of housings cannot fit "on each other" as required by line 1 of claim 1. One housing is on, or in, the other.
 - It appears that the comma on line 4 of claim 1 should be removed.
- Claim 1 lacks antecedent basis for "its original state" on lines 5-6 and "said normal fit-on state" on line 9.
- It appears that line 16 of claim 1 should begin with -whereby-, or something similar.
 - Claim 2 lacks antecedent basis for "said elastic arms" on line 2.
 - The section of claim 2 on lines 4-7 appears to be missing text.
- The claims are generally written in a confusing manner including non-standard grammar and idiom.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Application Number: 10/633,747 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilber, et al. This connector comprises a connector housing with a locking arm 62 deformable by contact with a locking arm contact portion 70 on a mating housing 11, and a fit-on detection member 12 including an elastic arm 24. It operates as claimed.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Noguchi, et al. and Kurimoto also comprise fit-on detection members that are similar to that of the present invention.
- 8. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and overcoming the objections enumerated above.
- 9. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

 Commissioner for Patents

 P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Application Number: 10/633,747 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (571) 272-2009.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Kenee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

March 31, 2004